## Fiscal Estimate - 2009 Session

| Original Dydated  | Corre   | ected                            | Supplemental  |
|---|---|----------------------------------|---|
| LRB Number <b>09-3050/2</b>   | Introducti  | on Number 🛭 🗜                    | AB-0854   |
| <b>Description</b> prohibiting consideration of any conviction rebeen selected for an interview | ecord of an applicant fo  | r employment before              | e the applicant has                                 |
| Fiscal Effect   |   |                                  |   |
| Appropriations  Decrease Existing  Appropriations  Create New Appropriations                    | ncrease Existing<br>Revenues<br>Decrease Existing<br>Revenues                       |                                  | s - May be possible<br>in agency's budget<br>\to No |
| Permissive Mandatory F  2. Decrease Costs 4. C  | ncrease Revenue<br>Permissive  Mandator<br>Decrease Revenue<br>Permissive  Mandator | y ☐ Counties [                   | nits Affected Village Cities Others WTCS Districts  |
| Fund Sources Affected  GPR FED PRO PRS  | SEG SEGS  | ffected Ch. 20 App               | propriations  |
| Agency/Prepared By  | Authorized Signat   | ture                             | Date  |
| OSER/ Yer Vang (608) 266-9820   | *   | Yer Vang (608) 266-9820 4/9/2010 |   |

## Fiscal Estimate Narratives OSER 4/9/2010

| LRB Number 09-30   | Introduction Number | AB-0854 Estima | ite Type <b>Original</b> |  |  |
|--|---------------------|----------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| <b>Description</b> prohibiting consideration of any conviction record of an applicant for employment before the applicant has been selected for an interview |                     |                |                          |  |  |

## **Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

If enacted, AB 854 would prohibit consideration of any conviction record of an applicant for employment before the applicant has been selected for an interview.

The Office of State Employment Relations (OSER) does not have responsibility to conduct criminal background checks for all state employees. With respect to the direct cost in relation to OSER implementing the proposed bill, it could be absorbed by the agency.

Furthermore, it should be noted that each state agency is responsible for establishing its own criminal background check policy. Each agency is also responsible for determining, in the recruitment and or selection processes, when it would be appropriate to conduct a criminal background check. Due to the various business needs of state agencies, it is not possible for OSER to determine the entire fiscal impact for all hiring agencies.

Subsequently, the fiscal impact to all affected agencies is indeterminate.

## **Long-Range Fiscal Implications**

Unknown.